

DRAFT The Federal Child and Family Services Review - - Program Measures Tracking Sheet DRAFT

PIP Survey Data Indicators

Performance Measure

Milestones Cases Selected Interviews Conducted Results Compiled Report Submitted to Feds Report Due / PIP Specified Due Date	Wave 1 Baseline (Actual)	Improvement Goal	Wave 2 (Proposed)	Wave 3 (Proposed)
	May 31, 2003		June 30, 2004	June 30, 2005
	Sep. 10, 2003 - Mar 16, 2004		Aug 27, 2004 -Nov. 19, 2004	July 15, 2005 - Oct 15, 2005
	April 28, 2004		January 8, 2005	November 30, 2005
	May 1, 2004		January 31, 2005	December 31, 2005
	Sept. 30, 2003		June 30, 2004	June 30, 2005
Permanency				
Item 14-Preserving connections: The percentage of children whose primary connections – including extended family, friends, community, and racial heritage – are preserved. Federal review rating: 84 %	89.9 ↑	92.9		
Well-Being				
Item 17-Needs and services of child, parents and foster parents: Percentage of children, parents, and caregivers whose needs were assessed and who received services to meet those needs. Federal review rating: 65 %				
Measure 1: Assessment percentage (number of persons receiving assessment divided by the number of persons identified as case/family members)	55.7 ↑	58.7		
Measure 2: Services percentage (number of persons receiving services divided by number of persons assessed.)	66.4 ↑	69.4		
Item 18- Child and family involvement in case planning: Percentage of all parents, children, and caregivers involved in case planning. Federal review rating: 53%				
Measure 1: Percentage of cases in which case plan was discussed at all.	90.9 ↑	93.9		
Measure 2: Where the plan was discussed, the percentage discussed with (a) interviewee, (b) interviewee and case child.	(a) 89.7 ↑(b) 50.7 ↑	92.6, 53.7		
Item 20- Workers visits with parent(s): Federal review rating: 82%				
Measure 1: The compliance by workers of planned parent visit schedules.	85.2 ↑	88.2		
Measure 2: The percentage of parents whose ability to safely parent in the home child was promoted/assisted by the social work visits.	70.8 ↑	73.8		
Measure 3: The percentage of parents whose ability to meet their case plan goals was promoted/assisted by the social work visits.	66.6 ↑	69.6		

DRAFT The Federal Child and Family Services Review - - Program Measures Tracking Sheet DRAFT

PIP Survey Data Indicators

Performance Measure

	Wave 1 Baseline (Actual)	Improvement Goal	Wave 2	Wave 3
<u>Item 21- Education:</u> Percentage of all children in the home or in out-of-home placement, who were assessed and received services for educational needs. Federal review rating: 82% Measure 1: Percentage of cases in which educational needs were assessed at all. Measure 2: Percentage of children with educational needs who received services.	 61 ↑ 71.4 ↑	 64 74.4		
<u>Item 23-Mental health of the child:</u> Percentage of all children in the home or in out-of-home placement, who were assessed and received services for mental health. Federal review rating: 74 % Measure 1: Percentage of cases in which mental health needs were assessed at all. Measure 2: Percentage of children with mental health needs who received services.	 43.7 ↑ 55.2 ↑	 46.7 58.2		

The Federal Child and Family Services Review - - Program Measures Tracking Sheet

PIP Data Indicators Performance Measure	Baseline	Improvement Goal Due 2005	PIP Approved Jun 2003 Q1 2003	Q2 2003 Jan 2004	Q3 2003 Apr 2004	Q4 2003 Jul 2004	Q1 2004 Jul 2004
Safety							
Item 2a-Repeat Maltreatment-Recurrence of maltreatment: Of all children who were victims of substantiated or indicated finding of child abuse and/or neglect during the first 6- months of the reporting period, what percentage had another substantiated or indicated report within a 6-month period? * (See AB 636 report #1A) National Standard=6.1%	10.7 ↓	8.9	10.9	9.4	9.7	9.4	8.9 (Draft #)
Item 2b- Incidence of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care: Of all children in foster care in the State during the period under review, what percent were the subject of substantiated or indicated maltreatment by a foster care parent or facility staff? (limited to children in non-kin foster homes and FFA homes) (See AB 636 report #1C) National Standard=.57%	.67 ↓	0.53	0.72	0.81	0.87	0.9	0.84
Item 3 & 4-Recurrence of abuse/neglect where children were not removed: Percent of children with an allegation (inconclusive or substantiated) who were not removed and whose next event was a substantiated allegation.* Focus referral child and siblings (current PIP measure) (See AB 636 report #2A) Focal referral child only (AB636 measure)	23 ↓ 9.5	21.0		21.9 8.9	22.3 8.9	22.4 8.9	22.6 8.8
* The methodology has been adjusted for items 2a, 3, and 4; quarters 2, 3, and 4 for 2003 and quarter 1 of 2004.							
Permanency							
Item 5-Foster Care Reentry-- Of all the children who entered care during the year under review, what percent re-entered foster care within 12 months of a prior foster care episode? (See AB 636 report # 3F) National standard=8.6%	10.7 ↓	9.4	11.1	11.0	11.1	10.8	10.5
Item 6-Placement Stability --- Of all children who have been in foster care less than 12 months from the time of their latest removal, what percent had no more than two placement settings? (See AB 636 report # 3B) National standard=86.7%	77.8 ↑	81.6	81.0	85.1	84.9	84.9	85.7
Item 7 Permanency Goal for Children: We will increase our rate of timely establishment of appropriate permanency goals from our baseline by three percentage points. (Internal adhoc report)	79.7 ↑	82.7		82.4	82.1	77.9	81.1
Item 8-Length of time to Achieve Reunification-- Of all children who were reunified with their parents or caretakers at the time of discharge from foster care, what percent was reunified in less than 12 months from the time of the latest removal from the home? (See AB 636 report # 3E) National standard=76.2%	53.2 ↑	57.2	63.7	63.5	63.4	63.2	62.6
Item 9- Length of time to Achieve Adoption-- Of all children who exited foster care during the period under review to a finalized adoption, what percent exited care in less than 24 months from the time of the latest removal? (See AB 636 report # 3D) National standard=32.0%	18.0 ↑	20.9	22.8	23.8	24.9	25.6	27
Item10- Permanency goal of other planned permanent living arrangement: We will reduce the proportion of children with a goal of long-term foster care at two years after entry from our baseline by three percentage points. (Internal adhoc report)	39.9 ↓	36.9		42.1	42	41.9	45.5

The Federal Child and Family Services Review - - Program Measures Tracking Sheet

PIP Data Indicators Performance Measure	Baseline	Improvement Goal Due 2005	PIP Approved Jun 2003 Q1 2003	Q2 2003 Jan 2004	Q3 2003 Apr 2004	Q4 2003 Jul 2004	Q1 2004 Jul 2004
Data Time Period Report Due							
Systemic Factor							
<u>Item 28-Provides a process for termination of parental rights (TPR) proceedings in accordance with the provisions of the Adoption and Safe Families Act.</u> We will decrease the proportion of children in care for at least 17 of the last 22 months without a TPR--who are not in a relative, guardian, or pre-adoptive placement, not a runaway or on a trial home visit-- from our baseline by two percentage points. <i>(Internal adhoc report)</i>	89.5 ↓	87.5	Improvement Goal obtained		88.3	88.2	87
			89.1	88.5			